Office - Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
APR 4 1985
ALEXANDER L. STEVAS
CLERK

No. 84-1462

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1984

RONALD E. JAMES,
Petitioner

v.

G. ALAN HUNTER and
GERALD GOLDBERG,
Respondent

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX
TO
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RONALD E. JAMES, PRO SE
P.O. BOX 3131
Pinedale, Ca. 93650
(209) 4390485

5pp

EDITOR'S NOTE

THE FOLLOWING PAGES WERE POOR HARD COPY AT THE TIME OF FILMING. IF AND WHEN A BETTER COPY CAN BE OBTAINED, A NEW FICHE WILL BE ISSUED.

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

RONALD E. JAMES
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

G. ALAN HUNTER and
GERALD GOLDBERG,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. CV-F-81-257-EDP

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
DEC. 30, 1982

ORDER RE MAGISTRATE'S RECOMMENDATION

The Magistrate has previously filed his recommendation that the defendant's motion to dismiss the plaintiff's complaint be granted. The plaintiff filed timely objections to the Magistrate's recommendation, and the defendants responded thereto in timely fashion.

The court has condidered the matter de novo, and has read the wirtten submissions by the respective parties. After due consideration, the court concurs in the Magistrate's recommendation.

The court orders the attorneys for the defendants to prepare and submit a form of written judgment within 20 days from the date of this order.

DATED: December 30, 1982

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX

RONALD E. JAMES
Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

G. ALAN HUNTER and
GERALD GOLDBERG,
Defendants-Appellees.

No. CV-F-81-257-EDP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FILED
JAN 24 1983

JUDGMENT

This case came on regularly to be heard on defendant's motion to dismiss action on the grounds that the court lacks jurisdiction and, in the alternative, on the grounds that the court should abstain from further proceeding since the action involves primarily questions of the interpretation of tax laws of the State of California. After considering the matter de novo, this Court on December 30, 1982 issued its Order reflecting that it concurs in the February 10, 1982 Magistrate's Recommendation of Defendant's

Motion to Dismiss. Said Magistrate's Recommendation concluded that it was necessary to consider only one of the number of alternative grounds urged by defendants, and concluded that the federal courts are without jurisdiction to entertain the action.

Now, on motion od defendants, it is adjudged and decreed that the complaint be, and the same hereby is, dismissed for want of jurisdiction, and that defendants recover their costs.

Dated: Jan. 24, 1983

No. 84-1462

IN THE SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES

October Term, 1984

RONALD E. JAMES,
Petitioner

v.

G. ALAN HUNTER and
GERALD GOLDBERG,
Respondent

SUPPLEMENTAL APPENDIX
TO
PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI
TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RONALD E. JAMES,PRO SE
P.O. BOX 3131
Pinedale, Ca. 93650
(209) 4390485